

REMARKS

We have carefully considered the Office Action dated October 1, 2007, in which claims 10-31 are allowed, claims 5-7, 35, 36 and 39 are objected to as depending from rejected base claims, and the remaining claims are rejected as either anticipated by or obvious over United States Patent 5,134,407 to Lorenz et al. alone or in combination with various other references. We thank the Examiner and his supervisor for a telephone interview in which the Lorenz patent and also United States Patent Application Publication 2005/0025222 to Underbrink et al were discussed, and appreciate their comments regarding the claims. Further, we thank the Examiner for a second telephone call during which draft claims were discussed.

We include amendments to independent claim 1 and independent claim 32, to explicitly point out what was implicit in the claims, namely, that a given accumulation register that is part of an array of complex accumulation registers produces, over multiple chip codes, a sum that corresponds to the code chip range that is associated with the given accumulation register. As discussed, neither the Lorenz patent nor the Underbrink patent have such an array of complex accumulation registers or produce, over multiple code chips, sums that correspond to the respective code chip ranges.

The independent claims 1 and 32, as amended as set forth above, should now be in form for allowance. Further, the claims that depend from these claims should also be in form for allowance. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider

the rejections of claims 1-4, 8, 9, 32-34, 37, 38 and 40 and issue a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

Please charge any additional fee occasioned by this paper to our Deposit Account No. 03-1237.

Respectfully submitted,

/Patricia A. Sheehan/
Patricia A. Sheehan
Reg. No. 32,301
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP
88 Black Falcon Avenue
Boston, MA 02210-2414
(617) 951-2500